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**Disclaimer**

The controlled wood public summary template is in conformity with FSC normative requirements. However, use of the template does not prevent CABs from recieving ASI NCs. That is dependent on the correct content entered by CABs.

# Public Summary of CH DDS

Information made publically available by the organization, or references to such (according to Section 6 of FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1) is in

Separate Annex, please refer to

*http://www.xxcompany.com/dds Or Annex XXX., written summary of DDS XXX*

DDS summary is listed in the following section

1. Description of the supply area(s) and respective risk designation(s)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | CW category | Risk designation | Type of risk assessment | Reference of risk assessment |
| *XX region, XX country* | Category 1 | Specified risk | FSC risk assessment  Extended Company Risk Assessment | *e.g. FSC-NRA-DE V1-0*  *ECRA-CW-xx country V1* |
| Category 2 | Low risk |
| Category 3 | Specified risk |
| Category 4 | Low risk |
| Category 5 | Specified risk |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | CW category | Risk designation | Type of risk assessment | Reference of risk assessment |
| *XX region, XX country* | Category 1 | Low risk | FSC risk assessment  Extended Company Risk Assessment | *e.g. FSC-NRA-DE V1-0*  *ECRA-CW-xx country V1* |
| Category 2 | Low risk |
| Category 3 | Low risk |
| Category 4 | Low risk |
| Category 5 | Low risk |

NOTE: The description should allow the identification of the area with a homogeneous risk designation in the applicable risk assessment for each controlled wood category.

Please click the table and use the add button on the bottom-right to insert more source area(s).

1. The organisations own risk assessment for mixing in the supply chain:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Supply chain/ Sourcing area | Risk Assessment (risk of mixing material with non-eligible inputs in the supply chain/s during transport, processing, and storage) | Risk Level (Low/Specified) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. The procedure for filing complaints

Note: The complaint procedure shall indicate the timelines and processing steps when a complaint is received, additional shapes can be added in the above diagram.

1. Contact information of the person or position responsible for addressing complaints

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Position responsible |  |
| Contact detail |  |

**Please fill section 4-7 in case material is not sourced from areas designated as “low risk”.**

1. The control measures implemented by the organization for each indicator not designated as low risk in the applicable risk assessment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Sourcing area | Indicator with specified risk |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Sourcing area | Indicator with specified risk |  |
|  |  |  |

Note: Please click on the table above and then use the add button to add additional rows.

1. Stakeholder consultation process(es) performed by the organization

Not applicable, the organization did not engage a formal consultation process.

Applicable, consultation is a control measure or internal audit tool. Please fulfill this section.

Stakeholder engagement date(s): June 18, 2019

Means of contact:

* Face to face meetings
* Personal contacts by phone
* Email, or letter
* Notice published in the national and/or local press
* Notice published on relevant websites
* Local radio annoucements
* Local customary notice boards
* Social media broadcast
  1. The areas for which the stakeholder consultation has been conducted (e.g. geo-reference data, state, province, supply unit)

Click or tap here to enter text.

* 1. List of the stakeholder groups invited by the organization to participate in the consultation, please check
* Economic interests
* Social interests
* Environmental interests
* FSC-accredited certification bodies active in the country
* National and state forest agencies
* Experts with expertise in controlled wood categories
* Research institutions and universities
* FSC regional offices, FSC network partners, registered standard development groups and NRA working groups in the region
  1. Summary of the stakeholder comments received and considerations

**Stakeholder comment**

**Consideration**

**Stakeholder comment**

**Consideration**

Note: Comments shall only be published with prior consent from the consulted stakeholder and not associated with stakeholder’s personal identifiable information.

* 1. The organization’s justification for concluding that the material sourced from these areas can be used as controlled material or sold with the FSC Controlled Wood claim

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. The organization engaged one or more experts in the development of control measures

Yes  No

Qualification:

Scope of service:

Qualification:

Scope of service:

NOTE: For individual experts this includes the experts’ qualifications and the scope of their services. The personal identifiable information such as names of experts, their license/registration numbers (if applicable) shall only be included with given consent from experts. For publicly available expertise, the specific sources of information shall be cited.

1. The organization undertook field verification as a control measure

Yes  No

1. Findings from field verification

Steps taken by the organization to address identified non-conformities

1. Findings from field verification

Steps taken by the organization to address identified non-conformities

The organization has provided a justification for the exclusion of confidential information

Yes  No

Click or tap here to enter text.

NOTE: The confidential nature of the information may be determined by the legislation that the organization must comply with. Commercially sensitive information, and the names of individual landholders, shall be treated as confidential information.

# Certification public summary

Type of evaluation: Main evaluation Re-evaluation

Note: For surveillance evaluations, please use the last section of surveillance public summary.

Certification evaluation date: June 6, 2019

1. Description of the DDS, including supplier structure for each participating site

Exact number of suppliers: Click or tap here to enter text.

Approximate or exact number of sub-suppliers: Click or tap here to enter text.

Supplier type:

Choose an item.

Note: When both primary and secondary processors are included, please select both types.

Average length of the non-FSC-certified supply chain(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

Risk of mixing with non-eligible inputs:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Note: Brief description of the material flow, documents kept at different transactions and other controls to indicate the risk of mixing with unacceptable material.

1. Evaluation of justification for excluding confidential information provided by the organization (according to Clause 6.2 (d) in FSC-STD-40-005 V3-0)

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Timeline and circumstances of an extension for the period during which the organization shall adapt the DDS to approved FSC risk assessments

Applicable  Not applicable

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Information about who has developed the DDS or elements of it, including whether the DDS was developed by an external party

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Brief description of the system developed for the evaluation of the DDS

The description shall include:

* A mechanism for verifying risk designations against available sources of information and applicable requirements;
* Field verification with a scope and sampling pool relevant for the DDS under evaluation. The sampling pool shall be sufficient to confirm mitigation of risk related to origin and risk of mixing of material with non-eligible inputs;
* Corroborating evidence provided by the organization with independent sources when possible.

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Brief summary of findings from field verification(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Note: This Includes audits at the forest level and on-site verification of suppliers in the supply chain), with justification for the sampling rate applied in any type of field verification of the DDS.

1. Summary of stakeholder consultation conducted by the certification body, including:
   1. Geographical area(s) for which stakeholder consultation was conducted (e.g. geo-reference data, state, province, supply units)

Click or tap here to enter text.

* 1. List of stakeholders invited by the certification body to participate in the consultation (identified per stakeholder group)
* Economic interests
* Social interests
* Environmental interests
* FSC-accredited certification bodies active in the country
* National and state forest agencies
* Experts with expertise in controlled wood categories
* Research institutions and universities
* FSC regional offices, FSC network partners, registered standard development groups and NRA working groups in the region
  1. Summary of the stakeholder comments received

Click or tap here to enter text.

Note: Personal identifiable information of stakeholders shall not be included, unless consent from stakeholders was given for publication.

* 1. Brief description of how the certification body has taken stakeholder comments into account

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. List of all nonconformities against FSC-STD-40-005

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2018 | Grading | Due date | Open/closed |
|  | Minor | March 13, 2019 | Open |
|  |  |  |  |
| Clause | FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1 1.3 | | |
|  | | | |
| Description | | | |
|  | | | |
| Corrective action | | | |
|  | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2018 | Grading | Due date | Open/closed |
|  | Click or tap to enter a date. | Choose a building block. | Click or tap to enter a date. |
|  |  |  |  |
| Clause | FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1 1.3 | | |
|  | | | |
| Description | | | |
|  | | | |
| Corrective action | | | |
|  | | | |

Note: Please click the add button to the bottom-right of the table to add additional nonconformities. Corrective actions are necessary before certification in the case of major nonconformities.

# Surveillance public summary

1. Surveillance evaluation date

August 9, 2018

1. Significant changes in the DDS since previous evaluation

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Actions taken by the organization to correct any Nonconformities identified during previous evaluations

Applicable  Not applicable

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2018 | Grading | Due date | Open/closed |
|  | Minor | March 13, 2019 | Open |
|  |  |  |  |
| Clause | FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1 1.3 | | |
|  | | | |
| Description of nonconformity | | | |
|  | | | |
| Corrective action | | | |
|  | | | |

Note: Please click the add button to the right bottom of the table to add additional NCs.

1. New nonconformities and conditions

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2018 | Grading | Due date | Open/closed |
|  | Minor | March 13, 2019 | Open |
|  |  |  |  |
| Clause | FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1 1.3 | | |
|  | | | |
| Description of nonconformity | | | |
|  | | | |
| Corrective action | | | |
|  | | | |

Note: Please click the add button to the right bottom of the table to add additional NCs.

1. The updated certification decision

Click or tap here to enter text.